Texas Education Agency Standard Application System (SAS)

| |)[C | tana | ard Applica | tion System (S | <u> </u> | |
|--|--|---|---|--|---|--|
| 20 |)14–2016 Ec | duca | tor Excelle | nce Innovation | Proq | ram |
| Program authority: | | General Appropriations Act, Article III, Rider 47, 83 rd Texas | | | FOR TEA USE ONLY Write NOGA ID here: | |
| Grant period: | April 1, 2014, t | o Aug | ust 31, 2016 | httildeliikkii kää tääliikii 1900 osatatiinin on on oli on oli oli on oli oli oli on oli oli oli oli oli oli o | | · nur ig |
| Application deadline: | 5:00 p.m. Cent | tral Tin | ne, Thursday, Jai | nuary 23, 2014 | | Place date stamp here. |
| Submittal information: Four complete copies of the application, at least three with original signature (blue ink preferred), must be received no later than the aforementioned time and date at this address: | | | | | | |
| | Document Control Center, Division of Grants Administration Texas Education Agency 1701 North Congress Ave Austin TX 78701-1494 | | | | | |
| Contact information: | Tim Regal: <u>Tim</u> (512) 463-0961 | | il@tea.state.tx.us | 3 | | 3.17 |
| | | Sche | dule #1—Gener | a <u>l Information</u> | | |
| Part 1: Applicant Infor | mation | | | | | |
| Organization name Judson Independent S Mailing address line 2 | chool District | *************************************** | Vendor ID # 1741556846 City Live Oak | Mailing address line 8012 Shin Oak Driv State TX | | ZIP Code 78233 |
| County- District # Campus num 015916 | nber and name | | ESC Region # | US Congressional District # 28 | DUN: 0105 | S # 42637 |
| Primary Contact | | | | | | |
| First name M.I. Kamara L | | | Last name Adams | | | ict Grant Writer and pliance Specialist |
| Telephone # Email a kadam | | | ddress s@judsonisd.or | 9 | FAX | |
| Secondary Contact | | | | | | |

Sharon

First name

M.I. Last name

Director of Federal Programs Roddy

and Grants

Title

FAX#

Telephone # Email address

(210) 945-5325 sroddy@judsonisd.org (210) 945-6903

Part 2: Certification and Incorporation

I hereby certify that the information contained in this application is, to the best of my knowledge, correct and that the organization named above has authorized me as its representative to obligate this organization in a legally binding contractual agreement. I further certify that any ensuing program and activity will be conducted in accordance with all applicable federal and state laws and regulations, application guidelines and instructions, the general provisions and assurances, debarment and suspension certification, lobbying certification requirements, special provisions and assurances, and the schedules attached as applicable. It is understood by the applicant that this application constitutes an offer and, if accepted by the Agency or renegotiated to acceptance, will form a binding agreement.

Authorized Official:

First name Willis Telephone #

Signature (blue ink preferred)

M.I. Last name Mackey

Email address (210) 945-5402

wmackey@judsonisd.org

Superintendent of Schools

FAX#

(210) 945-6900 Date signed

January 22, 2014

Only the legally responsible party may sign this application.

| Schedule #1—General I | nformation (cont.) |
|--|------------------------------------|
| County-district number or vendor ID: 015916 | Amendment # (for amendments only): |
| Part 3: Schedules Required for New or Amended Applicatio | ns |

An X in the "New" column indicates a required schedule that must be submitted as part of any new application. The applicant must mark the "New" checkbox for each additional schedule submitted to complete the application. For amended applications, the applicant must mark the "Amended" checkbox for each schedule being submitted as part of the amendment.

| Schedule | Cahadada Nassa | Application Type | |
|----------|---|---|-------------|
| # | Schedule Name | New | Amended |
| 1 | General Information | | Ø |
| 2 | Required Attachments and Provisions and Assurances | \boxtimes | N/A |
| 4 | Request for Amendment | N/A | \boxtimes |
| 5 | Program Executive Summary | | |
| 6 | Program Budget Summary | Ø | |
| 7 | Payroll Costs (6100) | | |
| 8 | Professional and Contracted Services (6200) | | |
| 9 | Supplies and Materials (6300) | | |
| 10 | Other Operating Costs (6400) | | |
| 11 | Capital Outlay (6600/15XX) | | |
| 12 | Demographics and Participants to Be Served with Grant Funds | | |
| 13 | Needs Assessment | | |
| 14 | Management Plan | \ \overline{\ov | |
| 15 | Project Evaluation | × | |
| 16 | Responses to Statutory Requirements | | |
| 17 | Responses to TEA Requirements | N N | |

| For TEA I | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| Schedule #2—Required Attachm | ents and Provisions and Assurances |
|--|---|
| County-district number or vendor ID: 015916 | Amendment # (for amendments only): |
| Part 1: Required Attachments | |
| The following table lists the fiscal-related and program-rela application (attached to the back of each copy, as an appe | ted documents that are required to be submitted with the ndix). |

| # | Applicant Type | Name of Required Flscal-Related Attachment | |
|-----|---|--|--|
| No | No fiscal-related attachments are required for this grant. | | |
| No | No program-related attachments are required for this grant. | | |
| Par | Part 2: Acceptance and Compliance | | |

By marking an X in each of the boxes below, the authorized official who signs Schedule #1—General Information certifies his or her acceptance of and compliance with all of the following guidelines, provisions, and assurances.

Note that provisions and assurances specific to this program are listed separately, in Part 3 of this schedule, and

require a separate certification.

| X | Acceptance and Compliance |
|-------------|--|
| \boxtimes | I certify my acceptance of and compliance with the General and Fiscal Guidelines. |
| | I certify my acceptance of and compliance with the program guidellnes for this grant. |
| | I certify my acceptance of and compliance with all General Provisions and Assurances requirements. |
| | I certify that I am not debarred or suspended. I also certify my acceptance of and compliance with all Debarment and Suspension Certification requirements. |

| For TEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| Schedule #2—Required Attachments | and Provisions and Assurances |
|--|------------------------------------|
| County-district number or vendor ID: 015916 | Amendment # (for amendments only): |
| Part 3: Program-Specific Provisions and Assurances | |

I certify my acceptance of and compliance with all program-specific provisions and assurances listed below.

| | Totally my deceptance of and compliance with all program-specific provisions and assurances listed below. |
|----|---|
| # | Provision/Assurance |
| 1. | The applicant provides assurance that program funds will supplement (increase the level of service), and not supplant (replace) state mandates, State Board of Education rules, and activities previously conducted with state or local funds. The applicant provides assurance that state or local funds may not be decreased or diverted for other purposes merely because of the availability of these funds. The applicant provides assurance that program services and activities to be funded from this grant will be supplementary to existing services and activities and will not be used for any services or activities required by state law, State Board of Education rules, or local policy. |
| 2. | The applicant provides assurance that the application does not contain any information that would be protected by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) from general release to the public. |
| 3. | Monitor and ensure practice alignment to ensure that each Educator Excellence Innovation Program (EEIP) practice works in concert with all other EEIP practices to enhance administrative and educator effectiveness and efficiency. |
| 4. | Monitor and ensure that EEIP practices lead to the improvement in student learning and student academic performance. |
| 5. | The EEIP plan must be developed by the district-level planning and decision-making committee under the TEC, Chapter 11, Subchapter F. |
| 6. | Approval from TEA prior to modifying the district's local educator excellence innovation plan practices as they are described in the district's original application. |
| 7. | Participation in required technical assistance activities established by TEA, including assistance in implementing EEIP practices. |

| | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| Schedule #4—R | equest for Amendment |
|---|------------------------------------|
| County-district number or vendor ID: 015916 | Amendment # (for amendments only): |
| Part 1: Submitting an Amendment | |

This schedule is used to amend a grant application that has been approved by TEA and issued a Notice of Grant Award (NOGA). **Do not submit this schedule with the original grant application**. Refer to the instructions to this schedule for information on what schedules must be submitted with an amendment.

An amendment may be submitted by mail *or* by fax. Do not submit the same amendment by both methods. Amendments submitted via email will not be accepted.

If the amendment is mailed, submit three copies of each schedule pertinent to the amendment to the following address: Document Control Center, Division of Grants Administration, Texas Education Agency, 1701 N. Congress Ave., Austin TX 78701-1494.

If the amendment is faxed, submit one copy of each schedule pertinent to the amendment to either of the following fax numbers: (512) 463-9811 or (512) 463-7915.

The last day to submit an amendment to TEA is listed on the <u>TEA Grant Opportunities</u> page. An amendment is effective on the day TEA receives it in substantially approvable form. All amendments are subject to review and approval by TEA.

Part 2: When an Amendment Is Required

For all grants, regardless of dollar amount, prior written approval is required to make certain changes to the application. Refer to the "When to Amend" guidance posted in the Amendments section of the Division of Grants Administration <u>Grant Management Resources</u> page to determine when an amendment is required for this grant. Use that guidance to complete Part 3 and Part 4 of this schedule.

| | | | Α | В | С | D |
|----|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| # | Schedule # | Class/ Object Code | Grand Total from Previously Approved Budget | Amount Deleted | Amount Added | New Grand Total |
| 1. | Schedule #7: Payroll | 6100 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 2. | Schedule #8: Contracted Services | 6200 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 3. | Schedule #9: Supplies and Materials | 6300 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 4. | Schedule #10: Other Operating Costs | 6400 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 5. | Schedule #11: Capital Outlay | 6600/ 15XX | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 6. | Total direct costs: | | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 7. | Indirect cost (%): | | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 8. | Total costs: | | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |

| For TEA U | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| Schedule #4—Request for Amendment (cont.) | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| County-district number or vendor ID: 015916 Amendment # (for amendments only): | | | | | |
| Part 4: | Amendment Ju | | | | |
| Line # | # of Schedule Being Amended | Description of Change | Reason for Change | | |
| 1. | | | | | |
| 2. | | | | | |
| 3. | | | | | |
| 4. | | | | | |
| 5. | | | | | |
| 6. | | | | | |
| 7. | | | | | |

| For TEA I | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |
| via telepronenavernali (circle as appropriate) | By TEA stall person. |

Schedule #5—Program Executive Summary

County-district number or vendor ID: 015916

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Provide a brief overview of the program you plan to deliver. Refer to the instructions for a description of the requested elements of the summary. Response is limited to space provided, front side only, font size no smaller than 10 point Arial.

Judson ISD will develop and implement an Empowered Effective Educators (E³) program in order to improve teacher retention rates.

E³'s theory of action is to strengthen teacher-to-teacher interaction through informal and formal networks focused on quality practice. E³ is a targeted, job-embedded professional development program that will accomplish three outcomes by the summer of 2015:

- Pilot a peer-to-peer learning practice that is personalized and strengthens feedback;
- Build teacher leadership capacity to support professional learning (The E³ Team); and
- Identify more professional learning time (resource optimization).

E³ will be supported by the district's human resources department, with the goals of streamlining and integrating human capital functions (hiring, placing, growing, and retaining staff), along with building both strategic management and project management capacity.

The E³ proposal is designed to build on the current strengths of Judson ISD's Human Resources Department and take the first steps to transform it into a Professional Learning system. E³'s theory of action – to strengthen teacher-to-teacher interaction through informal and formal social networks will result in increased teacher retention.

The total estimated cost for the resources needed for E³ implementation over the next year is \$1,000,000. These resources will allow Judson ISD to (1) provide protocol training and support to a corps of teacher leaders who are piloting a peer-to-peer learning model throughout the district; (2) create a leadership team with the time, resources, and mandate to manage this work; and (3) study district and school schedules to identify practical time solutions for schools to deepen peer-to-peer learning in the 2014 – 2015 school year.

Project Description

Judson ISD must engage our teachers in a stronger cycle of contlnuous professional learning. We believe that professional learning, at its heart, must share the same attributes as student learning – it must be purposeful, supportive, and meaningful, which are the three attributes at the heart of Judson ISD's Instructional Practice Framework. Judson ISD will engage in three activities that we expect to provide the connective tissue for this professional learning:

- Pilot a peer-to-peer learning practice that is personalized and strengthens feedback
- Build teacher leadership capacity to support professional learning (The E3 Team)
- Identify more professional learning time (resource optimization).

The E³ Model

The goal is for Judson ISD's teacher retention rate to increase. Judson ISD will develop a professional practice by ensuring that professional learning echoes student learning, with purposeful, supportive, and meaningful opportunities for the professionals involved.

Resources/Inputs

- Process: Ensure formal and informal professional learning experiences are purposeful, meaningful, and supportive (including the traditional professional development time, release time from classes, and interstitial time available in the schedule).
- People: Utilize and enhance the capacity and capability that exists within Judson ISD for professional learning, by identifying, training, utilizing, and compensating Empowered Teachers to support the development of their peers.

High Level Activities to Drive Change

- Pilot a peer-to-peer learning practice that is personalized and strengthens feedback
- Bulld teacher leadership capacity to support professional learning (The E³ Team)
- Identify more professional learning time (resource optimization).

| For TEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

Schedule #5—Program Executive Summary (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 015916

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Provide a brief overview of the program you plan to deliver. Refer to the instructions for a description of the requested elements of the summary. Response is limited to space provided, front side only, font size no smaller than 10 point Arial.

Milestones

- Identify 27 (1 per campus) Empowered Teachers form groups (grade level and subject) and Identify professional development needs and common professional learning interests.
- Train 27 Empowered Teachers on selected protocols.
- Hire an E³ Project Director
- Formalize E³ team.
- Establish processes and routines for E³ team.
- Resources, support, and coaching will be provided to Empowered Teachers on consistent and timely basis by E³team.
- Convene school leaders and teachers to identify potential schedule configurations to allow for more professional learning time.
- Provide schools with options to implement professional learning time schedule configurations for the 2014 2015 school year.

Build Teacher Leadership Capacity

Judson ISD's key milestone is to form a team comprised mostly of teachers to manage this work as a new kind of hybrid team. This is a high-functioning team and as a team they will balance administrative and teacher needs.

Identify More Professional Learning Time (Resource Optimization)

The key step in finding more time is convening school leaders and teachers to identify potential schedule configurations to allow for more professional learning time.

The final step in this process will be providing schools with options to implement for the 2014 – 2015 school year. These options will be practical alternatives to the existing schedules that schools are using, maintaining instructional time, while allotting more time for Empowered Teachers to meet with their facilitated groups.

Specific Need Addressed Supporting Peer toper Learning

The goal for E³ is to connect teachers to resources. Some resources already exist, other expert teachers; some resources need to be strengthened and provided, such as the E³ team and protocol training respectively. These resources, woven together, will maximize focus and efficiency in professional learning. Some of the resources exist in an organized hierarchy within the district; but other aspects must be created at the school- and classroom-levels. In particular, the existing hierarchy is very skilled at compliance-oriented tasks and E³ will build the capacity to shift to a more support-oriented framework.

Learning and Evaluation

- The three major outcomes include:
- Pilot a peer-to-peer learning practice that is personalized and strengthens feedback;
- Build teacher leadership capacity to support professional learning (The E³ Team); and
- Identify more professional learning time (resource optimization).

The outcomes and milestones for the grant will be measured via whether or not actions were completed. For example, whether or not the E³ Project Director was hired and whether or not training was provided. The E³ team will track the implementation of the professional learning systems and teacher retention rates will also be tracked.

Furthermore, the E^3 team will meet throughout the 2014 – 2015 school year to monitor progress on several aspects of the E^3 program using data collected from teachers.

| For TEA U | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

Texas Education Agency Standard Application System (SAS)

| | | | S | chedule #6—Progi | Schedule #6—Program Budget Summary | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| County-district | County-district number or vendor ID: 015916 | 15916 | | | Amendment # (for amendments only): | andments only): | | |
| Program autho | Program authority: General Appropriations Act, Article III, Rider 47, | ations Act | t, Article III, Rider 4 | 7, 83 rd Texas Legislature | slature | | | |
| Project period: | Project period: April 1, 2014, through August 31, 2016 | August : | 31, 2016 | | Fund code: 429 | | | |
| Part 1: Budget Summary | Summary | | | | | | | |
| | | Class/ | | Year 1 (4/1/14 - 8/31/15) | (115) | | Year 2 (9/1/14 8/31/16) | |
| Schedule # | Title | Object Code | Direct Program Costs | Direct Admin Costs | Total Budgeted Costs | Direct Program Costs | Direct Admin Costs | Total Budgeted Costs |
| Schedule #7 | Payroll Costs (6100) | 6100 | \$992,003 | 69 | \$992,003 | \$997,760 | ss. | \$997,760 |
| Schedule #8 | Professional and Contracted Services (6200) | 6200 | \$3,197 | ь | \$3,197 | G | €\$ | € |
| Schedule #9 | Supplies and Materials (6300) | 6300 | \$3,300 | 8 | \$3,300 | \$750 | 4 | \$750 |
| Schedule #10 | Other Operating Costs (6400) | 6400 | \$1,500 | ь | \$1,500 | \$1,490 | G | \$1,490 |
| Schedule #11 | Capital Outlay (6600/15XX) | 6600/ 15XX | ь | s s | ь | ь | 4 | ь |
| | Total dire | Total direct costs: | \$1,000,000.00 | ₩ | \$1,000,000.00 | \$1,000,000.00 | €> | \$1,000,000.00 |
| Percen | Percentage% indirect costs (see note): | e note): | N/A | ₩. | (A) | N/A | s, | ь |
| Grand total of | Grand total of budgeted costs (add all entries in each column): | ld all entries in each column): | \$\$1,000,000.00 | ક | \$1,000,000.00 | \$1,000,000.00 | & | \$1,000,000.00 |
| | | | | Administrative | Administrative Cost Calculation | | - MANAGE - 1 - MANAGE | |
| | | | | | | Year 1 | | Year 2 |
| Enter the total of | Enter the total grant amount requested: | | | | | \$1,000,000 | | \$1,000,000 |
| Percentage lim | Percentage limit on administrative costs established for the program (10%): | s establishe | ed for the program (1) | 0%): | | ×.10 | | ×.10 |
| Multiply and rot This is the maxi | Multiply and round down to the nearest whole dollar. Enter the result. This is the maximum amount allowable for administrative costs, including | whole doll for adminis | ar. Enter the result. strative costs, includir | ng indirect costs: | | \$100,000 | | \$100,000 |

NOTE: Indirect costs are calculated and reimbursed based on actual expenditures when reported in the expenditure reporting system, regardless of the amount budgeted and approved in the grant application. If indirect costs are claimed, they are part of the total grant award amount. They are not in addition to the grant award amount. Indirect costs are not required to be budgeted in the grant application in order to be charged to the grant. Do not submit an amendment solely for the purpose of budgeting indirect costs.

| 5 (43) | districted: | KIROWERSWEE |
|------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | |
| | | - |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | I ⊊ |
| | | <u>.</u> |
| | œ | * |
| | | ്മ്ി |
| | T | H - |
| | ഗ | To . |
| | 迂 | ಹ |
| | On this date: | ا ہے ا |
| | I.S. | اهشا |
| | ľ | F |
| | | |
| | | By TEA staff person: |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | 20 3000 |
| | 37/04/6 | 3746WE |
| - # - * | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| C | | |
| lacksquare | | |
| m | | |
| ŭ | | |
| = | | |
| For TEA Use Only | vejaugiji. | |
| | 1800 | |
| 111 2 | | |
| | | |
| $\overline{}$ | | |
| î | | |
| | ᄯ | |
| | ·5 | 0 |
| | 2.00 | Œ |
| | 8 | F |
| | Ĕ | 5 I |
| | E | . ნ. |
| | = | ਠ∷ |
| | 0 | |
| | U | 2 |
| | Ξ | ш. |
| | 8 | <u> </u> |
| | • | 2 |
| | page have been confirmed with: | 'ax/emall (circle as appropriate) |
| | 2 | _ |
| | 2 | 7 |
| | m | F |
| | ŏ. I | ō l |
| | Φ. | > ∣ |
| | | |
| | 뿔 | 9 |
| | ₽ | ē∥ |
| | ⊏ l | 2 |
| | 0 | 5 |
| | က္က | 0 |
| | ይ | o |
| | ange | 清冽日 |
| | <u>o</u> | ≃ |
| | ᄎ | 100 |
| | ∵ ≋I | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

RFA #701-14-101; SAS #181-14
2014-2016 Educator Excellence Innovation Program

Page 9 of 36

Texas Education Agency Standard Application System (SAS)

| | | Schedule #7—Payroll | Costs (6100) | | | |
|-----|-----------|---|--|--|--------------|--------------|
| Cou | ınty-dist | rict number or vendor ID: 015916 | Amer | dment # (for | amendments o | only): |
| | | Employee Positlon Title | Estimated # of Positions 100% Grant Funded | Estimate d # of Positions <100% Grant Funded | Year 1 | Year 2 |
| Aca | demic/ | Instructional | | | | |
| 1 | Teach | er | | | \$ | \$ |
| 2 | Educa | tional aide | | | \$ | \$ |
| 3 | Tutor | | | | \$ | \$ |
| Pro | gram M | anagement and Administration | | | | |
| 4 | Projec | t director | 1 | | \$58,000.00 | \$58,000.00 |
| 5 | Projec | t coordinator | | | \$ | \$ |
| 6 | Teach | er facilitator | | | \$ | \$ |
| 7 | Teach | er supervisor | | | \$ | \$ |
| 8 | Secret | ary/administrative assistant | | | \$ | \$ |
| 9 | | ntry clerk | | | \$ | \$ |
| 10 | Grant : | accountant/bookkeeper | | | \$ | \$ |
| 11 | Evalua | tor/evaluation specialist | | | \$ | \$ |
| Aux | ciliary | | | | | |
| 12 | Couns | elor | | | \$ | \$ |
| 13 | Social | worker | | | \$ | \$ |
| 14 | | | | \$ | \$ | |
| Oth | er Emp | loyee Positions | | | | |
| 15 | Title | | | | \$ | \$ |
| 16 | Title | | | | \$ | \$ |
| 17 | Title | | | | \$ | \$ |
| 18 | | | | \$ | \$ | |
| Sub | stitute, | Extra-Duty Pay, Benefits Costs | | | | <u> </u> |
| 19 | 6112 | Substitute pay | | | \$38,845.50 | \$36,120.00 |
| 20 | 6119 | Professional staff extra-duty pay | | | \$889,357.50 | \$897,400.00 |
| 21 | 6121 | Support staff extra-duty pay | ······································ | | \$ | \$ |
| 22 | 6140 | Employee benefits (Calculated @ 10%) | | | \$5,800.00 | \$5,800.00 |
| 23 | 61XX | Tuition remission (IHEs only) | | | \$ | \$ |
| 24 | | Subtotal substitut | e, extra-duty, be | enefits costs | \$ | \$ |
| 25 | | Grand total (Subtotal employee costs plus subto | | extra-duty, efits costs): | \$992,003.00 | \$997,760.00 |

For guidance on when to submit an amendment for changes to salary amounts in line items and a list of unallowable costs, see the guidance posted in the "Amendments" and "Grant Management Resources" sections of the Division of Grants Administration Grant Management Resources page

| FTEA! | U O-to |
|---|----------------------|
| For TEA | - |
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |
| | |

| Contractor's Cos | Year 1 | Year 2 | |
|--|-----------------|--------|----|
| Contractor's payroll costs | # of positions: | \$ | \$ |
| Contractor's subgrants, subcontracts, subcontracted services | | \$ | \$ |
| Contractor's supplies and mater | ials | \$ | \$ |
| Contractor's other operating cos | ts | \$ | \$ |
| Contractor's capital outlay (allowable for subgrants only) | | \$ | \$ |
| | Total budget: | \$ | \$ |

| For TEA I | llse Only |
|---|----------------------|
| | |
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| Texa | s Education Agency | | Standard Applicatio | n System (SAS) |
|------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| | Schedule #8—Prof | essional and Contracted Services (620 | <u>0)</u> (cont.) | |
| Cou | inty-District Number or Vendor ID: 015916 | Amendment nur | nber (for amendme | nts only): |
| | Professional Services, Contracted | Services, or Subgrants Greater Than o | r Equal to \$10,000 | (cont.) |
| | Specify topic/purpose/service: | | Yes, this is a s | subgrant |
| | Describe topic/purpose/service: | | | |
| | Contractor's Cost Breakdov | Year 1 | Year 2 | |
| | Contractor's payroll costs | # of positions: | \$ | \$ |
| 2 | Contractor's subgrants, subcontracts, su | ubcontracted services | \$ | \$ |
| | Contractor's supplies and materials | | \$ | \$ |
| | Contractor's other operating costs | | \$ | \$ |
| | Contractor's capital outlay (allowable for | subgrants only) | \$ | \$ |
| | | Total budget: | \$ | \$ |
| | Specify topic/purpose/service: | | Yes, this is a s | subgrant |
| | Describe topic/purpose/service: | | | |
| | Contractor's Cost Breakdov | vn of Service to Be Provided | Year 1 | Year 2 |
| | | # of positions: | \$ | \$ |
| 3 | Contractor's subgrants, subcontracts, su | \$ | \$ | |
| | Contractor's supplies and materials | \$ | \$ | |
| | Contractor's other operating costs | \$ | \$ | |
| | Contractor's capital outlay (allowable for | \$ | \$ | |
| | | Total budget: | \$ | \$ |
| | Specify topic/purpose/service: | | Yes, this is a s | ubgrant |
| | Describe topic/purpose/service: | | | |
| | Contractor's Cost Breakdov | vn of Service to Be Provided | Year 1 | Year 2 |
| | | # of positions: | \$ | \$ |
| 4 | Contractor's subgrants, subcontracts, su | \$ | \$ | |
| | Contractor's supplies and materials | \$ | \$ | |
| ' | Contractor's other operating costs | \$ | \$ | |
| | Contractor's capital outlay (allowable for | | \$ | \$ |
| | | Total budget: | \$ | \$ |
| | Specify topic/purpose/service: | | Yes, this is | a subgrant |
| | Describe topic/purpose/service: | | | |
| | Contractor's Cost Breakdow | vn of Service to Be Provided | Year 1 | Year 2 |
| | Contractor's payroll costs | # of positions: | \$ | \$ |
| 5 | Contractor's subgrants, subcontracts, su | \$ | \$ | |
| | Contractor's supplies and materials | | \$ | \$ |
| | Contractor's other operating costs | | \$ | \$ |
| | Contractor's capital outlay (allowable for | subgrants only) | \$ | \$ |

| For TEA I | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

Total budget:

\$

\$

| | Schedule #8—Professi | onal and Contracted S | Services (6200 |) (cont.) | |
|----------|--|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Cou | inty-District Number or Vendor ID: 015916 | Ar | nendment num | ber (for amendmen | ts only): |
| 1650.025 | Professional Services, Contracted Serv | ices, or Subgrants Gr | reater Than or | | |
| | Specify topic/purpose/service: | | | Yes, this is a su | ıbgrant |
| | Describe topic/purpose/service: | | | | T |
| | Contractor's Cost Breakdown o | | led | Year 1 | Year 2 |
| _ | Contractor's payroll costs # of positions: | | | \$ | \$ |
| 6 | Contractor's subgrants, subcontracts, subcontracted services | | | \$ | \$ |
| | Contractor's supplies and materials | | | \$ | \$ |
| | Contractor's other operating costs | | | \$ | \$ |
| | Contractor's capital outlay (allowable for sub | T | | \$ | \$ |
| | | | Total budget: | \$ | \$ |
| | Specify topic/purpose/service: | | | Yes, this is a sι | ıbgrant |
| | Describe topic/purpose/service: | | | | T |
| | Contractor's Cost Breakdown o | led | Year 1 | Year 2 | |
| | | positions: | | \$ | \$ |
| 7 | Contractor's subgrants, subcontracts, subcor | \$ | \$ | | |
| | Contractor's supplies and materials | \$ | \$ | | |
| | Contractor's other operating costs | | | \$ | \$ |
| | Contractor's capital outlay (allowable for sub | " | | \$ | \$ |
| | | | Total budget: | \$ | \$ |
| | Specify topic/purpose/service: | | | Yes, this is a | subgrant |
| | Describe topic/purpose/service: | | | | |
| | Contractor's Cost Breakdown of | f Service to Be Provid | led | Year 1 | Year 2 |
| | Contractor's payroll costs # of | positions: | | \$ | \$ |
| 8 | Contractor's subgrants, subcontracts, subcor | ntracted services | | \$ | \$ |
| | Contractor's supplies and materials | | | \$ | \$ |
| | Contractor's other operating costs | | | \$ | \$ |
| | Contractor's capital outlay (allowable for sub | grants only) | | \$ | \$ |
| | | | Total budget: | \$ | \$ |
| | Subtotal of professional services, contract greater than or equal to \$10,000: | ed services, and subgr | ants | \$ | \$ |
| | Subtotal of professional services, cont costs requiring specific approval: | racted services, and s | subgrant | \$ | \$ |
| | Subtotal of professional services, conti less than \$10,000: | racted services, or su | bgrants | \$3,197.00 | \$ |
| | Subtotal of professional services, conti greater than or equal to \$10,000: | · | | \$ | \$ |
| | Remaining 6200—Professional services subgrants that do not require specific a | | s, or | \$ | \$ |
| | (Sum | of lines a, b, c, and d | Grand total | \$3,197.00 | \$ |
| | | | <u>-</u> L | · · · · · | <u> </u> |

For a list of unallowable costs and costs that do not require specific approval, see the guidance posted on the Division of Grants Administration Grant Management Resources page.

| ForTEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| i exas t | -auc | ation Agency | | | Standard | Application S | system (SA |
|----------|--|---------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------|------------|
| | | | Schedule #9—Supplies a | nd Materials (6300) | | | |
| County | y-Dis | strict Number or Ve | ndor ID: 015 916 | Amendment r | number (for | amendments | only): |
| | | | Expense Item Do | escription | | | |
| | | | Technology Hardware—Not Cap | oitalized | | | |
| | # | # Type Purpose | | Quantity | Quantity Unit Cost | | Year 2 |
| | 1 | Telephone | E ³ Project Manager | 1 | \$450.00 | | |
| 6399 | 2 | Computer | E ³ Project Manager | 1 | \$650.00 | | \$ |
| | 3 | Monitor | E ³ Project Manager | 1 | \$150.00 | \$2,300.00 | |
| | 4 | Printer | E ³ Project Manager | 1 | \$450.00 | | |
| | 5 | iPad | E ³ Project Manager | 1 | \$600.00 | | |
| 6399 | Те | chnology software | Not capitalized | | | \$ | \$ |
| 6399 | Supplies and materials associated with advisory council or committee | | | | | | \$ |
| | | | Subtotal supplies and materi | als requiring specific | approval: | \$ | \$ |
| | Remaining 6300—Supplies and materials that do not require specific approval: | | | | \$1,000.00 | \$750.00 | |
| | | | | Gr | and total: | \$3,300.00 | \$750.00 |

For a list of unallowable costs and costs that do not require specific approval, see the guidance posted on the Division of Grants Administration Grant Management Resources page.

| For TEA U | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| | Schedule #10—Other Operating Costs (6400) | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| County | County-District Number or Vendor ID: 015916 Amendment number (for amendments only): | | | | | | | |
| | Expense Item Description | Year 1 | Year 2 | | | | | |
| 6411 | Out-of-state travel for employees (includes registration fees) | | | | | | | |
| 0411 | Specify purpose: | \$ | \$ | | | | | |
| 6412 | Travel for students (includes registration fees; does not include field trips): Specific approval required only for nonprofit organizations. | \$ | \$ | | | | | |
| | Specify purpose: | 1 | _ | | | | | |
| 6413 | Stipends for non-employees (specific approval required only for nonprofit organizations) | \$ | \$ | | | | | |
| | Specify purpose: | | | | | | | |
| 6419 | Travel for non-employees (includes registration fees; does not include field trips): Specific approval required only for nonprofit organizations | \$ | \$ | | | | | |
| | Specify purpose: | | • | | | | | |
| 6411/ | Travel costs for executive directors (6411); superintendents (6411); or board members (6419): Includes registration fees | \$1,500.00 | \$1,490.00 | | | | | |
| 6419 | Specify purpose: Travel between 27 campuses (mileage) | 4.,000.00 | , ,, | | | | | |
| 6429 | Actual losses that could have been covered by permissible insurance | \$ | \$ | | | | | |
| 6490 | Indemnification compensation for loss or damage | \$ | \$ | | | | | |
| 6490 | Advisory council/committee travel or other expenses | \$ | \$ | | | | | |
| 6499 | Membership dues in civic or community organizations (not allowable for university applicants) | \$ | \$ | | | | | |
| | Specify name and purpose of organization: | * | | | | | | |
| 6499 | Publication and printing costs—if reimbursed (specific approval required only for nonprofit organizations) | | \$ | | | | | |
| | Specify purpose: | · | , | | | | | |
| | Subtotal other operating costs requiring specific approval: | \$1,500.00 | \$1,490.00 | | | | | |
| | Remaining 6400—Other operating costs that do not require specific approval: | \$ | \$ | | | | | |
| | Grand total: | \$1,500.00 | \$1,490.00 | | | | | |

In-state travel for employees does not require specific approval. Field trips consistent with grant program guidelines do not require specific approval. See <u>TEA Guidelines Related to Specific Costs</u> for more information about field trips. For a list of unallowable costs and costs that do not require specific approval, see the guidance posted on the Division of Grants Administration <u>Grant Management Resources</u> page.

| For TEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Vla telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| | ile #11—Capital Outlay (660 | <u>0/15XX)</u> | | error etterasion |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------|
| County-District Number or Vendor ID: 015 916 | | endment number | | nts only): |
| 15XX is only for use by ch | narter schools sponsored by | y a nonprofit o | rganization. | |
| # Description/Purpose | Quantity | Unit Cost | Year 1 | Year 2 |
| 6669/15XX—Library Books and Media (cap | italized and controlled by li | | | |
| 1 | N/A | N/A | \$ | \$ |
| 66XX/15XX—Technology hardware, capital | lized | | | |
| 2 | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 3 | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 4 | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 5 | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 6 | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 7 | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 8 | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 9 | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 10 | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 11 | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 6XX/15XX—Technology software, capitali | zed | | | |
| 12 | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 13 | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 14 | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 15 | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 16 | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 17 | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 18 | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 6XX/15XX—Equipment, furniture, or vehic | cles | | | |
| 19 | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 20 | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 21 | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 22 | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 23 | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 24 | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 25 | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 26 | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 27 | <u> </u> | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 28 | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 6XX/15XX—Capital expenditures for impre | ovements to land, buildings | | | |
| heir value or useful life | | | | |
| 29 | | | \$ | \$ |
| | | Grand total: | \$ | \$ |

For a list of unallowable costs, as well as guidance related to capital outlay, see the guidance posted on the Division of Grants Administration Grant Management Resources page.

| For TEA (| Jse Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

Schedule #12—Demographics and Participants to Be Served with Grant Funds

County-district number or vendor ID: 015916

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 1: Student Demographics. Enter the data requested for the population to be served by this grant program. If data is not available, enter DNA. Use the comments section to add a description of any data not specifically requested that is important to understanding the population to be served by this grant program.

| Total enrollment: | | | 22,972 | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------|------------|---|------------|--|
| Category | Number | Percentage | Category | Percentage | |
| African American | 5,336 | 23% | Attendance rate | 95.6% | |
| Hispanic | 12,592 | 55% | Annual dropout rate (Gr 9-12) | 3.8% | |
| White | 3,766 | 16% | TAKS met 2011 standard, all tests (sum of all grades tested; standard accountability indicator) | 69% | |
| Asian | 416 | 2% | TAKS commended 2011 performance, all tests (sum of all grades tested) | 10% | |
| Economically disadvantaged | 16,242 | 71% | Students taking the ACT and/or SAT | 64% | |
| Limited English proficient (LEP) | 1,885 | 8% | Average SAT score (number value, not a percentage) | 1321 | |
| Disciplinary placements | 441 | 1.7% | Average ACT score (number value, not a percentage) | 18.9 | |

Comments

| Part 2: Teacher Demographics. Enter the data requested. If data is not available, enter DNA. | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|------------|---------------------------------|----------|------------|--|--|
| Category | Number | Percentage | Category | Number | Percentage | | |
| African American | 239 | 15.59% | No degree | 38 | 2.79% | | |
| Hispanic | 406 | 26.48% | Bachelor's degree | 913 | 66.98% | | |
| White | 8 5 7 | 55.90% | Master's degree | 406 | 29.79% | | |
| Asian | 25 | 1.63% | Doctorate | 6 | .44% | | |
| 1-5 years exp. | 393 | 28.83% | Avg. salary, 1-5 years exp. | \$46,785 | N/A | | |
| 6-10 years exp. | 362 | 26.56% | Avg. salary, 6-10 years exp. | \$47,451 | N/A | | |
| 11-20 years exp. | 337 | 24.72% | Avg. salary, 11-20 years exp. | \$50,471 | N/A | | |
| Over 20 years exp. | 147 | 10.79% | Avg. salary, over 20 years exp. | \$58,393 | N/A | | |

| For TEA I | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| Sch | redule # | #12—D | emogr | aphics | and F | Partici | oants (| o Be S | Served | with G | Grant F | unds (| cont.) | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------|----------|---------|--------|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------|--------|
| County-district nu | mber or | vendor | ID: 01 | 5916 | | | | | Amen | dment : | # (for a | mendn | nents c | nly): | |
| Part 3: Students projected to be se | to Be S rved un | erved : der the | with G crant r | rant Fu Program | unds. l n | Enter th | ne num | ber of | studen | ts in ea | ich gra | de, by | type of | schoo | 1, |
| School Type | PK (3-4) | К | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Public | 852 | 1,769 | 1,902 | 1,754 | 1,820 | 1,720 | 1,738 | 1,667 | 1,687 | 1,776 | 1,814 | 1,683 | 1,575 | 1,147 | 22,904 |
| Open-enrollment charter school | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Public institution | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Private nonprofit | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Private for-profit | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL: | 852 | 1,769 | 1,902 | 1,754 | 1,820 | 1,720 | 1,738 | 1,667 | 1,687 | 1,776 | 1,814 | 1,683 | 1,575 | 1,147 | 22,904 |
| Part 4: Teachers projected to be se | to Be S | erved of | with G | rant Fo | unds. I | Enter ti | ne num | ber of | teache | rs, by (| grade a | ind type | e of scl | hool, | l |
| School Type | PK (3-4) | к | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Public | DNA | DNA | 90 | 91 | 85 | 88 | 79 | DNA | DNA | DNA | DNA | DNA | DNA | DNA | 1,363 |
| Open-enrollment charter school | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Public institution | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Private nonprofit | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Private for-profit | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL: | DNA | DNA | 90 | 91 | 85 | 88 | 79 | DNA | DNA | DNA | DNA | DNA | DNA | DNA | 1,363 |

| For TEA I | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

Schedule #13—Needs Assessment

County-district number or vendor ID: 015916

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 1: Process Description. A needs assessment is a systematic process for identifying and prioritizing needs, with "need" defined as the difference between current achievement and desired or required accomplishment. Describe your needs assessment process, including a description of how needs are prioritized. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Although Judson ISD is 100% highly qualified, the District's teacher turnover rate is 21%. During the 2012-2013 school year, JISD had 1,318 teachers and 276 resigned.

The following table represents the results of the Judson ISD teacher retention needs assessment:

| Campus ID# | Campus | 2013-2014 Total Enrollment | 2013-2014 % of Economically Disadvantaged | 2012-2013 Allocations | 2012- 2013 Exits | 2012- 2013 Turnover Råte |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 001 | Judson High School | 3,395 | 51% | 184 | 51 | 28% |
| 003 | Judson Learning Academy | 78 | 54% | 4 | 0 | 0% |
| 005 | Judson Secondary Alternative School | 39 | 74% | 132 | 1 | 1% |
| 008 | Karen J Wagner High School | 2,236 | 74% | 132 | 40 | 30% |
| 009 | Judson Early College Academy | 443 | 48% | 20 | 7 | 35% |
| 041 | Kirby Middle School | 943 | 88% | 58 | 18 | 31% |
| 042 | Kitty Hawk Middle School | 1,312 | 58% | 73 | 15 | 21% |
| 043 | Woodlake Hills Middle School | 935 | 74% | 61 | 11 | 18% |
| 044 | Metzger Middle School | 943 | 77% | 60 | 15 | 25% |
| 045 | 045 Judson Middle School | | 70% | 56 | 6 | 11% |
| 101 | Converse Elementary School | 786 | 75% | 36 | 14 | 39% |
| 102 | Joseph H Hopkins Elementary School | 864 | 90% | 37 | 4 | 11% |
| 103 | Edward E Franz Elementary School | 386 | 74% | 19 | 3 | 16% |
| 104 | Coronado Village Elementary School | 540 | 69% | 27.5 | 11 | 40% |
| 105 | Park Village Elementary School | 633 | 96% | 29 | 9 | 31% |
| 106 | Crestview Elementary School | 615 | 73% | 28 | 2 | 7% |
| 107 | Woodlake Elementary School | 745 | 77% | 32 | 6 | 19% |
| 109 | Olympia Elementary School | 458 | 47% | 21 | 2 | 10% |
| 110 | Spring Meadows Elementary School | 594 | 82% | 32 | 3 | 9% |
| 111 | Millers Point Elementary School | 616 | 80% | 30 | 6 | 20% |
| 112 | Candlewood Elementary School | 642 | 92% | 31 | 8 | 26% |
| 113 | Elolf Elementary School | 743 | 76% | 34.5 | 8 | 23% |
| 114 | William J Paschall Elementary School | 793 | 90% | 31.5 | 6 | 19% |
| 115 | Mary Lou Hartman Elementary School | 826 | 84% | 44 | 5 | 11% |
| 116 | Ricardo Salinas Elementary School | 915 | 59% | 41 | 8 | 20% |
| 117 | James L Masters Elementary School | 802 | 71% | 33.5 | 10 | 30% |
| 118 | Rolling Meadows Elementary School | 665 | 45% | 31 | 7 | 23% |
| | TOTAL | 22,933 | 71% | 1,318 | ≇ 276 `- | 21% |

| For TEA U | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

Schedule #13—Needs Assessment (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 015916

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 2: Alignment with Grant Goals and Objectives. List your top five needs, in rank order of assigned priority.

Describe how those needs would be effectively addressed by implementation of this grant program. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

| # | Identified Need | How implemented Grant Program Would Address |
|----|--|---|
| | Judson ISD will develop and implement an Empowered Effective Educators (E³) program in order to improve teacher retention rates. | Judson ISD must engage teachers in a stronger cycle of continuous professional learning. Professional learning, at its heart, must share the same attributes as student learning – it must be purposeful, supportive, and meaningful, which are the three attributes at the heart of Judson ISD's Instructional Practice Framework. Judson ISD will engage in three activities expected to provide the connective tissue for this professional learning: Pilot a peer-to-peer learning practice that is personalized and strengthens feedback Build teacher leadership capacity to support professional learning (The E3 Team) Identify more professional learning time (resource optimization). |
| 1. | | Peer-to-Peer Learning Practice The goal for E³ is to connect teachers to resources. Some resources already exist, other expert teachers; some resources need to be strengthened and provided, such as the E³ team and protocol training respectively. These resources, woven together, will maximize focus and efficiency in professional learning. Some of the resources exist in an organized hierarchy within the district; but other aspects must be created at the school- and classroom-levels. In particular, the existing hierarchy is very skilled at compliance-oriented tasks and E³ will build the capacity to shift to a more support-oriented framework. |
| | | Build Teacher Leadership Capacity Judson ISD's key milestone is to form a team comprised mostly of teachers to manage this work as a new kind of hybrid team. This is a high-functioning team and as a team they will balance administrative and teacher needs. |
| | | Identify More Professional Learning Time (Resource Optimization) The key step in finding more time is convening school leaders and teachers to identify potential schedule configurations to allow for more professional learning time. |

| For TEA U | Jse Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Vla telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

County-district number or vendor ID: 015916 Amendment # (for amendments only): Part 1: Staff Qualifications. List the titles of the primary project personnel and any external consultants projected to be involved in the implementation and delivery of the program, along with desired qualifications, experience, and any requested certifications. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. # Title Desired Qualifications, Experience, Certifications The Grant Project Director, under the supervision of the Director of Federal Programs and Grants, shall oversee all aspects of the grant implementation. 1. **Grant Project Director** Education and Certification Requirements: BA degree required, Master's degree preferred, Valid Texas Certification, and Three years teaching experience Part 2: Milestones and Timeline. Summarize the major objectives of the planned project, along with defined milestones and projected timelines. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. # Objective Milestone **BegIn Activity End Activity** 1. Post job description 04/01/2014 04/15/2014 04/30/2014 2. Interview for project director position 04/15/2014 Hire an E³ Project Director 3. Hire project director 05/01/2014 05/15/2014 4. XX/XX/XXXX XX/XX/XXXX 5. XX/XX/XXXX XX/XX/XXXX 1. Identify 27 (1 per campus) 04/01/2014 05/15/2015 Empowered Teachers 2. Form groups (grade level and 04/01/2014 05/15/2015 Formalize E³ team. 3. Identify professional development 04/01/2014 05/15/2015 needs and common professional learning interests. 4. XX/XX/XXX XX/XX/XXXX 5. XX/XX/XXXX XX/XX/XXXX 1. Identify mentees - new teachers 04/01/2014 08/01/2015 Identify mentors - master teachers 2. 04/01/2014 08/01/2015 Develop professional development New Teacher Induction 3. 04/01/2014 08/01/2015 3. Program calendar 4. XX/XX/XXXX XX/XX/XXXX 5. XX/XX/XXXX XX/XX/XXXX XX/XX/XXXX XX/XX/XXXX 1. 2. XX/XX/XXXX XX/XX/XXXX 3. XX/XX/XXXX 4. XX/XX/XXXX 4. XX/XX/XXXX XX/XX/XXXX 5. XX/XX/XXXX XX/XX/XXXX XX/XX/XXXX 1. XX/XX/XXXX 2. XX/XX/XXXX XX/XX/XXXX 5. 3. XX/XX/XXXX XX/XX/XXXX XX/XX/XXXX 4. XX/XX/XXXX 5. XX/XX/XXXX XX/XX/XXXX

Schedule #14—Management Plan

| For TEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

Grant funds will be used to pay only for activities occurring between the beginning and ending dates of the grant, as specified on the Notice of Grant Award.

Schedule #14—Management Plan (cont.)

County-district number or vendor ID: 015916

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Part 3: Feedback and Continuous Improvement. Describe the process and procedures your organization currently has in place for monitoring the attainment of goals and objectives. Include a description of how the plan for attaining goals and objectives is adjusted when necessary and how changes are communicated to administrative staff, teachers, students, parents, and members of the community. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Judson Independent School District currently uses the following program evaluation as a model for monitoring the attainment of goals and objectives. This program evaluation is conducted yearly.

Producing Excellence!

PROGRAM EVALUATION

School Year:

Campus/Department Name:

| Cost | Funding Source | Program(s) (Title of the Program/Initiative) | Grade or Group | Was funding this program/initiative an effective use of | What challenges did this program | What changes would you recommend to | Will you continue funding |
|------|-------------------|--|----------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| | | | | funds? Attach specific data | and/or activity | improve the effectiveness of | this |
| | | | | including TAKS if applicable, | your campus? | this program and/or activity? | and/or |
| | | | | STAAR, CBA, iStation, etc. to | | | acuvicy. |
| | 88388 | Brown Case St. St. | 8 9 9 | justify response. | | | |

Part 4: Sustainability and Commitment. Describe any ongoing, existing efforts that are similar or related to the planned project. How will you coordinate efforts to maximize effectiveness of grant funds? How will you ensure that all project participants remain committed to the project's success? Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Judson ISD will continue the new teacher induction program at the end of the grant period.

| For TEA I | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/emall (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| | | S | chedule #15—Project Evaluation | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| County-district number or vendor ID: 015916 Amendment # (for amendments only): | | | | | | |
| Part 1: Evaluation Design. List the methods and processes you will use on an ongoing basis to examine the | | | | | | |
| effectiveness of project strategies, including the indicators of program accomplishment that are associated with each. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. | | | | | | |
| | | , ≀ron ∣ | | | | |
| # | Evaluation Method/Process | ļ | Associated Indicator of Accomplishment | | | |
| | New teacher induction/mentor | 1. | Mentor Survey, Mentee Survey, Administrator Survey | | | |
| 1. | program formative assessment | 3. | TAPR data | | | |
| | JISD retention rate | 1. | Reflective feedback – evidence of interactive journals Evaluate the percentage of teachers that exit the school district yearly | | | |
| 2. | olob retermon rate | 2. | TAPR data | | | |
| | | 3. | 7,7,1,000 | | | |
| | | 1. | | | | |
| 3. | | 2. | | | | |
| | | 3. | | | | |
| | | 1. | | | | |
| 4. | | <u>2.</u> | | | | |
| - | | 1. | | | | |
| 5. | | 2. | | | | |
| | | 3. | | | | |
| eval stud to b font | uation design, including program-lent-level academic data, Including e identified and corrected throughon no smaller than 10 point. | evel of achie out the | ection. Describe the processes for collecting data that are included in the lata such as program activities and the number of participants served, and evement results and attendance data. How are problems with project delivery project? Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial | | | |
| PFI | MC TADD JOTAAD J-4 | | | | | |
| | MS, TAPK, and STAAK data w be incorporated. | ill be | collected for all mentors and mentees including demographic data | | | |
| wiil E ³ r | be incorporated. | | and administrator surveys will be administered online to participants | | | |
| wiil E ³ r usir | be incorporated. nentor, beginning teacher, men ng Survey Monkey | tor, a | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| wiil E ³ r usir | be incorporated. nentor, beginning teacher, men ng Survey Monkey | tor, a | and administrator surveys will be administered online to participants | | | |
| wiil E ³ r usir | be incorporated. nentor, beginning teacher, men ng Survey Monkey | tor, a | and administrator surveys will be administered online to participants | | | |
| wiil E ³ r usir | be incorporated. nentor, beginning teacher, men ng Survey Monkey | tor, a | and administrator surveys will be administered online to participants | | | |
| wiil E ³ r usir | be incorporated. nentor, beginning teacher, men ng Survey Monkey | tor, a | and administrator surveys will be administered online to participants | | | |
| wiil E ³ r usir | be incorporated. nentor, beginning teacher, men ng Survey Monkey | tor, a | and administrator surveys will be administered online to participants | | | |
| wiil E ³ r usir | be incorporated. nentor, beginning teacher, men ng Survey Monkey | tor, a | and administrator surveys will be administered online to participants | | | |
| wiil E ³ r usir | be incorporated. nentor, beginning teacher, men ng Survey Monkey | tor, a | and administrator surveys will be administered online to participants | | | |
| wiil E ³ r usir | be incorporated. nentor, beginning teacher, men ng Survey Monkey | tor, a | and administrator surveys will be administered online to participants | | | |

| For TEA U | Jse Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements

County-district number or vendor ID: 015916

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 1: Required - Describe the components of the induction system, including a mentorship or instructional coaching program, with details such as mentor selection and training, mentor stlpends, mentor/mentee meetings and release time, and mentee observation opportunities. Response is limited to two pages, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Comprehensive New Teacher Induction

Comprehensive induction programs are defined as opportunities to collaborate in small learning communities, observe experienced colleagues' classrooms, be observed by expert mentors, analyze their own practice, and network with other novice teachers (Smith & Ingersoll, 2004). Teachers learn about exemplary teaching by seeing what it looks like, talking about it, and experimenting in their own classrooms. Quality induction must sanction time for teachers to be observed and reflect on their own teaching, as well as on their students' learning (Darling-Hammond et al., 2009).

Teachers are socialized in various ways including the observation of their previous teachers known as the apprenticeship of observation (Lortie, 1975), their preparation programs, and especially their first on-the-job experiences. Teachers often underestlmate the demands that will be placed on them in their first year of teaching. As a result, they struggle when the support system is not strong enough to help them implement the ideas and knowledge that they learn in their preparation years (Villani, 2002). The following sections summarize research on effective components of comprehensive Induction programs including mentoring, professional collaboration, and the aspects of school environments that impact beginning teachers.

Mentoring

First year teachers are typically focused on developing their practice and do so by gathering information to improve technical skills (Gabriel, 2010). In this crucial developmental stage, research has shown that teachers who were provided a mentor from the same content area, and received support in their first year of teaching, including planning and collaboration with other teachers, were less likely to leave the profession after their first year (Smith & Ingersoll, 2004). The guidance of a mentor can support new teachers to make decisions as part of an experienced team, rather than in isolation. Effective mentors use inquiry-based questioning and support meaningful teaching and learning through an analysis of individual needs and goals based on teacher standards (Feiman-Nemser, 2001). Furthermore, Fletcher, Strong, and Villar (2008) established a mentoring-achievement link, noting that more hours of mentoring yielded higher student achievement gains compared with others teachers who spent less time with a mentor. In sum, instructional mentoring is effective when it is consistent and based on an explicit vision of good teaching as well as an understanding of teacher learning (Feiman-Nemser, 2001).

Professional Collaboration

In addition to mentoring, professional collaboration is a key component of a successful induction program. Learning to teach is a process, not solely a function of a teacher preparation program or induction experiences, and teachers need opportunities to continuously learn and improve their practice. Hord and Sommers (2008) argued the best form of professional development includes providing opportunities for reflection on practice to develop teachers' understanding of content, pedagogy, and learners. In addition to increasing teacher learning and student achievement, professional collaboration further increases teacher job satisfaction (Berry, Daughtrey, & Wieder, 2010). Quality teachers with experience and content knowledge exist in many schools and are often the most untapped resources.

At a time when many schools and teachers feel pressure from national, state, and district mandates to improve test scores, many successful schools are turning to teachers and tapping into their rich knowledge base via professional learning communities (PLCs). PLCs, or teacher study and support groups, operating within the school day can help new teachers collaboratively look at instructional practices and curriculum (DuFour, 2007). These types of structures on the school campus can be helpful for new teachers to receive continual support in developing their curriculum and repertoire of best teaching practices. Such programs can be structured in ways that provide teachers with consistent and meaningful collaboration with colleagues, afford them opportunities for learning, and allow them to be learners alongside their students (Meier, 2002; Metropolitan Life Insurance, 2010).

| For TEA I | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| T | exas | Educa | tion. | Agency |
|---|------|-------|--------|---------|
| | CAUS | | 111011 | TUCITOR |

Standard Application System (SAS)

| | - | N 102 | 85 | 2532 | 1111 | 200 | X13 | | X. | | ee | N.W. | Section. | | | | | | | | | | Chiri | | 1837 | | | | | gerress | | | | | 3650 | | | | |
|----|---|-------|----|------|------|-----|-----|-----|----|----|----|------|----------|--------|--------|-------|---|------|---|------|-----|-------|----------|----|------|----|-------|-------|------|---------|-------|------|------|-----|----------|-------|-----|------|--------|
| 70 | С | | | - | | 100 | 1 | 100 | 35 | и. | 4 | _ | 200 | | paren. | N.Car | | 2700 | | Sea. | 100 | A MAG | | 70 | | 1 | A.Vee | 27,44 | 1200 | 7" | JAIN. | 1000 | 1752 | VY2 | Section. | 42000 | www | (All | No. 11 |
| S | | H | - | | п | ΙŧΙ | | 5- | | | | п | | ж. | | n | н | | Р | | 4 | n | <i>"</i> | т: | aт | 11 | rr | ır | | ж | • | | | 17 | О. | m | Ω | n | æ |
| 12 | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

County-district number or vendor ID: 015916

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 2: Required - Describe the steps taken in conducting multiple observations for teachers throughout the school year and identify what observation rubric is used, who is trained and deployed to observe teachers, and the goals of both pre- and post-observation meetings. Response is limited to two pages, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Judson ISD uses the Professional Development and Appraisal System (PDAS) The PDAS requires:

- Mandatory teacher orientation
- · Specific appraiser qualifications
 - The teacher-appraisal process requires at least one certified appraiser.
 - A campus administrator who is a certified PDAS appraiser and approved by the school district board of trustees shall conduct a teacher's appraisal.
 - A campus administrator includes a principal, an assistant principal who holds an administrator certificate established by the State Board for Educator Certification.
- Appraisal training for teachers
- Appraisal based on performance in fields and teaching assignments for which the teacher is certified
- · At least one 45-minute observation
- · Additional walk-throughs and observations at the appraiser's discretion
- Cumulative data from additional written documentation
- Pre- and/or post-observation conferences at the request of the teacher or appraiser
- A Teacher Self-Report Form that includes:
 - Documentation by the teacher of the teaching or reinforcement of TAKS objectives, as well as other contributions to the improvement of student academic performance;
 - An annual description of professional development activities; and
 - Discussion of targeted areas for professional growth.
- An annual written summative appraisal report and conference

| For TEA U | Jse Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements

County-district number or vendor ID: 015916

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 3: Required - Describe the formal evaluation process, Including what evaluation rubric is used, the domains addressed and the evidence sought to support evaluation results, including multiple measures of teacher performance, such as student growth, teacher self-assessment and student evaluations, who conducts formal evaluations, the timing (when and how long) of formal evaluations, and the process and content of summative evaluation meetings. Response is limited to two pages, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

Judson ISD will use the Professional Development and Appraisal System (PDAS) for the formal evaluation process.

The PDAS scoring criteria will be used as the evaluation rubric.

The PDAS requires:

- Mandatory teacher orientation
- · Specific appraiser qualifications
 - The teacher-appraisal process requires at least one certified appraiser.
 - A campus administrator who is a certified PDAS appraiser and approved by the school district board of trustees shall conduct a teacher's appraisal.
 - A campus administrator includes a principal, an assistant principal who holds an administrator certificate established by the State Board for Educator Certification.
- Appraisal training for teachers
- · Appraisal based on performance in fields and teaching assignments for which the teacher is certified
- At least one 45-minute observation
- · Additional walk-throughs and observations at the appraiser's discretion
- Cumulative data from additional written documentation
- Pre- and/or post-observation conferences at the request of the teacher or appraiser
- A Teacher Self-Report Form that includes:
 - Documentation by the teacher of the teaching or reinforcement of TAKS objectives, as well as other contributions to the improvement of student academic performance;
 - · An annual description of professional development activities; and
 - Discussion of targeted areas for professional growth.

An annual written summative appraisal report and conference

| For TEA I | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| Texas Education Agency | Standard Application System (SAS |
|--|---|
| Schedule #16—Response | s to Statutory Requirements |
| County-district number or vendor ID: 015916 | Amendment # (for amendments only): |
| Statutory Requirement 4: Required - Describe the accommon opportunities within the school week for teachers to discuss two pages, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 1 | and share pedagogical strategies. Response is limited to |
| Teachers with 0-2 years of experience will be provided one observe other teachers and/or collaborate with other teachers | school business leave day during the school year in order to ers. The E ³ program grant funds will pay for the substitute. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| For TEA U | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| exas Education Agency | Standard Application System (SA |
|--|---|
| | esponses to Statutory Requirements |
| development activities and opportunities within the | Amendment # (for amendments only): ne steps taken to plan, provide and/or facilitate professional e school week tied to observation and formal evaluation results as wel data. Response is limited to two pages, front side only. Use Arial font, |
| Feachers with 0-2 years of experience will be provobserve other teachers and/or collaborate with oth | vided one school business leave day during the school year in order to ner teachers. The E ³ program grant funds will pay for the substitute. |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| For TEA (| Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

Standard Application System (SAS)

| 170 | 20215 | | | | 713 | | × | | 22. | 200 | 46 | XUI | | 135507 | 100 | | V2 | | | | | | | | | 27. | 75 | VIII. | 3/1 | | 13 | | | NA LEG | | 357 | | 997 | | | | 198 | 3/2) |
|-----|-------|---|---|--------|-----|---|----|-----|-----|-----|----|-----|-------|--------|-----|----|----|---|---|-----|---|---|---|-----|---|------|-----|-------|-----|-----|----|------|------|--------|---|-----|---|-----|---|-------|-----|-----|-------|
| | u | ^ | н | ٠. | -11 | | 10 | ٠. | и | - | | | 200 | | ٠. | 36 | | • | ~ | • | - | ^ | | . 6 | ~ | 8. | - 1 | | | • • | ~ | - | 1 | o | ~ | ~ | | | • | - | en | | • 33 |
| 11 | u | u | 4 | = 1 | - | ч | 15 | - S | 71 | :XI | | | -0.41 | d B | ١. | 5. | 33 | | | I I | 3 | | - | | | Sec. | 31 | | LŁ. | | u | 10.0 | 1.65 | г. | | ш | ш | | 2 | 4 1:1 | 211 | | 30.00 |

County-district number or vendor ID: 015916

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 6: Required - Describe the strategic compensation plan that differentiates compensation, such as compensation based on responsibilities most closely aligned to improving students' performance and teachers' pedagogical growth, or teacher compensation based on market supply and shortage needs. Response is limited to two pages, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

The E³ program compensation plan includes a \$700 retention incentive to be paid to all teachers who return from the 2013-2014 school year to the 2014-2015 school year. The actual teacher count to receive the retention incentive will be determined in the fall of 2014.

The E³ teacher retentlon plan qualifying criteria is as follows:

- Employee must be a permanent JISD employee
- Employee must be a full-time JISD employee
- · Employee assignment must be as a teacher
- Employee must have been employed as a JISD teacher no later than April 30, 2014
- Employee must have returned the fall of 2014 and still be employed by JISD as a teacher as of November 15, 2014.

| For TEA U | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| Texas Education Agency | Standard Application System (SAS) |
|---|--|
| Schedule #16—Responses to | |
| County-district number or vendor ID: 015916 Statutory Requirement 7: Preferred - Describe the steps taker hiring practices, evidence used to determine the quality of the a and of previous teaching experience, if applicable. Response is smaller than 10 point. | pplicant, of the education preparation program attended, |
| N/A | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| For TEA U | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

Schedule #16—Responses to Statutory Requirements

County-district number or vendor ID: 015916

Amendment # (for amendments only):

Statutory Requirement 8: <u>Preferred</u> - Describe the multiple career pathways for classroom teachers that provide additional opportunities for advancement through responsibilities such as campus leadership, mentorship, instructional coaching, directing collaboration activities, observing teachers, or providing pedagogical professional development to teachers and administrators. Response Is limited to two pages, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

The Judson Leaders in Education Administration Program (JLEAP) is the district's aspiring administrators preparatory course and support system. The purpose of this cohort is to promote awareness of the district's leadership methodology while making participants knowledgeable of the resources and assistance that Judson ISD has made accessible to administrators and leaders of learning communities within JISD.

JLEAP strives to provide meaningful experiences for all participants by:

- Being useful for participants in the future
- Helping JISD look for applicants for leadership positions
- Enhancing the image of Judson ISD

JLEAP Requirements:

- Three to five years teaching experience with most recent year in JISD
- Current enrollment in a program for Principalship Certification (preferred but not required)
- Admission Portfolio
 - A cover letter addressed to the JLEAP Selection Committee in which you request consideration of your candidacy and discuss why you are interested in campus administration
 - Personal resume containing the following information:
 - Personal Data
 - Formal Education
 - Employment History
 - · Professional References
 - · Professional Development Activities
 - Professional Leadership Roles and/or Responsibilities

| For TEA l | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| J | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| Texas Education Agency | Standard Application System (SAS) |
|--|--|
| Schedule #16—Re | esponses to Statutory Requirements (cont.) |
| County-district number or vendor ID: 015916 | Amendment # (for amendments only): |
| Statutory Regulrement 9: If seeking waiver | Describe why waiving the identified section of the TEC is necessary to |
| carry out the purposes of the program as described | ribed by the TEC, §21.7011. Response is limited to space provided, front |
| side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 po | |
| | |
| N/A | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | - Describe the evidence used to demonstrate approval for the waiver by a |
| vote of a majority of the members of the school | district board of trustees. Response is limited to space provided |
| | |
| N/A | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| For TEA U | Jse Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| Texas Education Agency | Standard Application System (SAS) |
|--|---|
| Schedule #16—Responses to | o Statutory Requirements (cont.) |
| County-district number or vendor ID: 015916 | Amendment # (for amendments only): |
| Statutory Requirement 11: If seeking waiver – Describe vote of a majority of the educators employed at each camp space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller | |
| N/A | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| the school year and in a manner that ensured that all educ | evidence used to demonstrate that the voting occurred during ators entitled to vote had a reasonable opportunity to vided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point. |
| N/A | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| For TEA | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| For TEA I | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

Schedule #17—Responses to TEA Program Requirements

County-district number or vendor ID: 015916

Amendment # (for amendments only):

TEA Program Requirement 2: Provide a single, integrated timeline for the anticipated steps necessary to fulfill the plan for each of the various practices in the local educator excellence innovation plan. Response is limited to space provided, front side only. Use Arial font, no smaller than 10 point.

| | E ³ Program Milestone | Timeline |
|------|--|--------------------|
| • Hi | ire an E ^a Project Director | Spring 2014 |
| su | lentify 27 (1 per campus) Empowered Teachers form groups (grade level and ubject) and identify professional development needs and common professional earning interests. | Spring 2014 |
| • Tr | rain 27 Empowered Teachers on selected protocols. | Spring/Summer 2014 |
| • Fc | ormalize E ³ team. | Spring/Summer 2014 |
| • Es | stablish processes and routines for E ³ team. | Spring/Summer 2014 |
| 1 | esources, support, and coaching will be provided to Empowered Teachers on possistent and timely basis by E ³ team. | Spring/Summer 2014 |
| | onvene school leaders and teachers to identify potential schedule configurations to low for more professional learning time. | Spring/Summer 2014 |
| | rovide schools with options to implement professional learning time schedule onfigurations for the 2014 – 2015 school year. | Spring/Summer 2014 |

| For TEA U | Jse Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |

| Texas Education Agency | Standard Application System (SAS) |
|--|---|
| Schedule #17—Responses to | TEA Program Requirements (cont.) |
| County-district number or vendor ID: 015916 | Amendment # (for amendments only): |
| | ort from affected personnel groups for both the decision to neters of the plan. Response is limited to space provided, front |
| Campus principals have been surveyed regarding the E ³ | program and are on board 100%. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| TEA Program Requirement 4: Indicate whether participal will participate in the EEIP, or, if not, provide a list of those limited to space provided, front side only. Use Ariai font, n | |
| All Judson ISD campuses will participate in the EEIP. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| | Use Only |
|---|----------------------|
| Changes on this page have been confirmed with: | On this date: |
| Via telephone/fax/email (circle as appropriate) | By TEA staff person: |